

ARTICLE 08

The Enterprise Outcome Economy

Turning connectivity into repeatable enterprise outcomes

The Enterprise Outcome Economy

Turning connectivity into repeatable enterprise outcomes

AUTHOR Moderion Advisory

SERIES The Total Connectivity Economy

EVIDENCE CURRENT TO 6 September 2026

EXECUTIVE PROPOSITION

Enterprises do not buy network features. They buy reliability, safety, visibility, fraud reduction, automation and resilience. Operators will capture more value only where they can productize an outcome repeatedly and accept a clearly bounded share of delivery responsibility.

Executive summary

Enterprises do not buy network features. They buy reliability, safety, visibility, fraud reduction, automation and resilience. Operators will capture more value only where they can productize an outcome repeatedly and accept a clearly bounded share of delivery responsibility.

This evidence-led thought article develops an executive point of view using standards, official data, company disclosures and Moderion Advisory analysis. It separates established facts from emerging direction and reported implementation.

EXECUTIVE QUESTION

How can operators move beyond connectivity resale to own a measurable, repeatable share of enterprise and public-sector outcomes?

Key insights at a glance

- Private cellular networks can be standalone, virtualized on shared infrastructure or integrated with public networks. The architecture should follow the outcome, security boundary and mobility requirement rather than a single preferred deployment model.
- Cellular differentiation is strongest where mobility, wide-area interoperability, spectrum control and service assurance matter; Wi-Fi, fixed and specialized industrial technologies remain complementary or preferable in other cases.
- The operator's defensible role is a measured outcome boundary that uses distinctive network authority - identity, mobility, quality, location, resilience, edge placement or regulated assurance.
- Bespoke integration can win early deals but destroys scale if every solution becomes a unique project. Reusable modules and a productized operating model are required to improve margin and deployment time.
- Outcome-linked pricing is credible only when the baseline, causal drivers and responsibility boundaries are measurable. An operator should not accept production or safety risk it cannot control.
- Priority verticals are not determined by technology appetite alone. Buyer urgency, budget ownership, regulation, repeatability, gross margin and strategic access should be scored together.
- A slice becomes a product only when the buyer can order an outcome, observe performance at the agreed demarcation point and receive a defined remedy. Technical isolation alone is not a commercial proposition.

- Private networks and public-network slices are not binary alternatives. Hybrid designs can combine local radio or core control with wide-area mobility, operator spectrum, edge placement and managed assurance.
- Automated service credits are more credible than speculative blockchain settlement: the essential requirement is a trusted SLA ledger and contractually agreed causality, not a particular ledger technology.
- This article contributes to the Total Connectivity Economy by testing its enabling mechanism against universal reach, open interoperability, governed intelligence, trusted participation and sustainable economics.
- A 2025 Telecommunications Policy study found no empirical evidence that spectrum set-asides caused greater private-network adoption in its examined sample, while estimating a public-network performance trade-off. Private-network policy should therefore be justified by measured outcomes, not assumed adoption.

Exhibit 1 | Outcome-product scoring model

Dimension	Question	Weighting logic
Urgency	Is the outcome tied to material loss or mandate?	High urgency accelerates budget
Control	Can network capability materially influence it?	Avoid liability without authority
Repeatability	Can the design recur across sites/customers?	Determines scale and margin
Evidence	Can baseline and improvement be observed?	Enables pricing and renewal
Strategic fit	Does it deepen a defensible relationship?	Protects long-term value

Note: Moderion Advisory portfolio screen.

Exhibit 3 | Enterprise outcome-product catalogue

Product	Committed outcome	Architecture options	Primary evidence
Factory control	Bounded latency, availability and recovery	Private, slice or hybrid	End-to-end percentile telemetry
Utility field operations	Priority, coverage and restoration	Public slice plus private sites	Coverage and incident ledger
Port logistics	Mobility, positioning and video uplink	Hybrid campus / macro	Route and application tests
Live media	Temporary assured uplink	On-demand slice	Session throughput and setup time
Public safety	Resilient priority communications	Dedicated plus roaming / NTN	Scenario exercise results

Note: Illustrative templates; final SLAs require jurisdiction-, network- and application-specific engineering.

Core analysis

The move beyond connectivity

Enterprise connectivity remains essential, but connectivity alone is increasingly one component of a larger operating result. A manufacturer wants stable production and flexible reconfiguration. A logistics company wants asset visibility and predictable movement. A financial institution wants trusted identity and lower fraud. A government wants resilient services and sovereign control. The commercial language must therefore move from bandwidth and features toward operational outcomes.

This does not mean operators should become general systems integrators. Bespoke transformation work can grow revenue while consuming scarce talent and producing weak margins. The strategic objective is a repeatable product in which network capabilities make a material and defensible contribution.

What makes an outcome productizable

A productizable outcome has a defined buyer, a measurable baseline, a standard architecture, bounded implementation requirements and a repeatable support model. The operator must control or contract enough of the delivery chain to be accountable. If the outcome depends mainly on processes outside the operator's visibility, a guarantee can create disproportionate risk.

The product should separate common core from local configuration. Identity, quality, edge placement, private-network policy, device management and assurance can form reusable modules. Industry workflow, integration and regulation can then be added through partners. Repeatability is demonstrated by deployment time, reuse ratio, gross margin, incident burden, renewal and measurable improvement - not by the number of pilots.

Priority outcome domains

Manufacturing and logistics offer credible demand where deterministic performance, location, sensing and edge processing support safety and throughput. Government, defence and critical communications value resilience, jurisdiction and service continuity. Finance and digital commerce value verified identity and fraud signals. Energy and utilities value remote asset reach and operational assurance. Mobility, maritime and aviation can combine communications with tracking and situational awareness.

These domains have different buying centres and liability profiles. A group-wide portfolio should not assume one enterprise sales motion. Each offer requires a clear economic owner, ecosystem design and evidence standard.

The operator's role in the ecosystem

Operators can lead when licensed access, identity, local infrastructure, service assurance or sovereign trust is central. They should partner where applications, industry workflow or global cloud scale dominate. The boundary must be deliberate: who integrates, who observes, who supports, who carries liability and who owns improvement data.

Commercial structures should align with responsibility. Subscription, usage, availability and outcome-linked fees can coexist, but the operator should not accept outcome risk without authority over the drivers. Likewise, partners should not receive platform economics while the operator absorbs deployment and assurance cost invisibly.

Moderion Advisory perspective

Build a product system, not a project catalogue

A reusable enterprise platform should separate common capabilities from industry logic. Common components include connectivity, device onboarding, identity, policy, edge placement, observability, security and assurance. Vertical modules translate these into specific workflows such as machine control, asset visibility, worker safety or resilient public communication.

The product system should define standard deployment patterns, commercial packages, partner roles, acceptance tests and operations. Customization remains possible, but it should occur at controlled extension points rather than through a new architecture and support model for every customer.

Prove value with a causal outcome chain

Each proposition should connect network capability to an intermediate operational effect and then to an economic or public outcome. For example: deterministic coverage reduces command interruption; fewer interruptions improve automated-line availability; higher availability increases throughput and avoids loss. Each link needs a measure and an owner.

Pilots should therefore test the chain, not only radio performance. A successful technical trial without process adoption, integration, operating ownership and a funded rollout decision is not a commercial proof.

A bankable enterprise product catalogue

A manufacturing-control product can specify site boundary, device class, uplink and downlink latency percentiles, availability, mobility, isolation, local breakout, recovery and change windows. A utility field-operations product emphasizes coverage, priority, device density, security and restoration. A port or logistics product combines outdoor coverage, positioning, video uplink and operational continuity. A live-media product may prioritize temporary high-capacity uplink and rapid activation.

Each product must separate committed SLA, target KPI and diagnostic metric. The enterprise buys the committed outcome and remedy; the operator retains freedom to engineer the underlying slice, private network, edge and transport components. Remote surgery should remain a frontier research scenario unless clinical governance, end-to-end medical-device assurance and legal responsibility are independently established.

From telemetry to financial remedy

The contract names the service demarcation points, measurement source, sampling interval, exclusions, planned maintenance, force-majeure treatment and causality rule. When a threshold is breached, an assurance engine correlates network, edge and customer-premises evidence, determines eligibility, calculates the service credit and preserves a dispute record. Automation can execute an agreed credit, but material damages or contested causality follow the contractual claims process.

Pricing should reflect reserved capacity, geographic scope, duration, activation speed, resilience, support and liability. A low-latency promise across an unmanaged application stack is not controllable; the SLA must allocate dependencies and provide an end-to-end test procedure.

Private 5G versus slicing: a five-year TCO model

Private-network TCO includes spectrum access, radio, core, edge, site works, integration, devices, security, operations, upgrades, spares and residual value. Slice TCO includes setup, recurring service, devices, integration, assurance, usage, change requests, coverage extensions and expected service credits. The model discounts cash flows and prices outage risk, migration cost and the value of local control.

The decision turns on geography, deterministic performance, data locality, operational responsibility, device ecosystem and demand variability. Dedicated infrastructure can be justified where control and predictable local load dominate. A slice can be superior where wide-area reach, mobility, rapid scaling and shared economics matter. Hybrid architecture often wins when the enterprise needs local control plus national continuity.

Economic value appears in outcomes, not technology labels

Universal intelligent participation becomes economically real when enterprises and public institutions can contract for measurable continuity, safety, productivity, visibility and resilience. Outcome products must

define the service boundary, evidence source, dependencies, remedy and liability so that value can be renewed and financed rather than merely demonstrated.

Outcome evidence must precede architecture preference

Academic evidence reinforces that 5G is a toolbox rather than a single deployment model. It also warns that policy interventions intended to accelerate enterprise adoption can shift cost or performance elsewhere in the system.

An outcome product should consequently disclose the counterfactual: why public cellular, private cellular, Wi-Fi, fixed connectivity or a hybrid is the least-cost reliable design for the stated operational result.

Exhibit 2 | Example outcome chains

Sector	Network capability	Operational outcome	Commercial evidence
Manufacturing	Deterministic private connectivity	Line availability and safe automation	Downtime and throughput
Logistics	Identity, location and edge	Asset visibility and faster handling	Dwell time and loss
Defense / government	Resilient multi-layer service	Mission continuity	Availability under scenario
Aviation / maritime	Terrestrial-NTN continuity	Persistent monitoring and communication	Coverage, incident and utilization

Note: Illustrative; sector-specific validation and legal review are required.

Executive action agenda

- Choose priority verticals using buyer urgency, operator control, repeatability, margin and strategic fit.
- Define measurable outcome baselines and responsibility boundaries before proposing outcome-linked pricing.
- Build reusable network, identity, edge, device and assurance modules beneath industry solutions.
- Track deployment time, reuse, gross margin, support effort, renewal and outcome improvement.
- Exit or redesign pilots that cannot become repeatable products under conservative economics.

Conclusion

The enterprise opportunity is neither a return to commodity connectivity nor an unrestricted expansion into consulting and integration. It is a disciplined middle path: use distinctive network capabilities to own a measurable part of an important outcome, build it as a repeatable product and assemble the remaining ecosystem without losing accountability or economics. In the wider series, this capability is treated as an enabler of the Total Connectivity Economy rather than as an end state in itself.

Selected evidence and references

- T039 | Zagdanski, Castells & Bahia. The impact of spectrum set-asides on private and public mobile networks. 2025. Telecommunications Policy. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0308596125000886>
- T040 | Multiple authors. How do established multinational enterprises replicate digital business models across borders? The case of Telenor. 2025. Journal of International Management. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1075425325000729>
- T041 | Pettersson, Björkdahl & Holgersson. Profiting from AI: Evidence from Ericsson's Pursuit to Capture Value. 2025. California Management Review. <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/00081256251349140>
- T044 | Deloitte. 2026 Telecommunications Industry Outlook. 2026. Deloitte Insights. <https://www.deloitte.com/us/en/insights/industry/technology/technology-media-telecom-outlooks/telecommunications-industry-outlook.html>
- T046 | McKinsey & Company. What it will take for telcos to unlock value from network APIs. 2024. McKinsey. <https://www.mckinsey.com/industries/technology-media-and-telecommunications/our-insights/what-it-will-take-for-telcos-to-unlock-value-from-network-apis>
- P004 | IEEE. X5G: An Open, Programmable, Multi-Vendor, End-to-End, Private 5G O-RAN Testbed. 2025. 10.1109/TMC.2025.3580764. <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/11039666>
- P051 | GSMA. Open Gateway Demand Index. 2026. GSMA Intelligence. <https://www.gsma.com/solutions-and-impact/gsma-open-gateway/whos-ready-to-buy-network-apis-and-whos-still-on-the-fence/>

- P052 | GSMA. From Ambition to Execution: How Open Gateway Is Scaling the Global API Economy. 2026. GSMA Open Gateway. <https://www.gsma.com/newsroom/article/from-ambition-to-execution-how-open-gateway-is-scaling-the-global-api-economy/>
- GSMA. Exploring Private Networks through the Lens of MNOs. https://www.gsma.com/about-us/regions/north-america/gsma_resources/updated-whitepaper-exploring-private-networks-through-the-lens-of-mnos/
- GSMA. Open Gateway State of the Market, H1 2026. <https://www.gsma.com/solutions-and-impact/gsma-open-gateway/gsmi-publish-the-latest-open-gateway-state-of-the-market-read-it-to-find-out-valuable-insights/>
- World Bank. Digital Progress and Trends Report 2025: Strengthening AI Foundations. <https://ppp.worldbank.org/sites/default/files/2026-01/Digital%20Progress%20and%20Trends%20Report%202025%2C%20Strengthening%20AI%20Foundations.pdf>
- GSMA. Private 5G Network Components and Deployment Architectures. <https://www.gsma.com/solutions-and-impact/technologies/networks/gsma-private-networks-community-whitepaper/>
- 3GPP. Network-slice management and charging overview. https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/Information/Highlights/2021_Issue03/files/downloads/Highlight_Issue_3_final_web.pdf
- PR025 | Lehr, W., Queder, F. & Haucap, J. 5G: A new future for Mobile Network Operators, or not? Telecommunications Policy 45(3), 102086 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.telpol.2020.102086>
- PR026 | Zagdanski, J., Castells, P. & Bahia, K. The impact of spectrum set-asides on private and public mobile networks. Telecommunications Policy 49(7), 102991 (2025). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.telpol.2025.102991>